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## Interest Rates are Volatile



Notes: The 20-Bond Index consists of 20 general obligation bonds that mature in 20 years and is compiled every Thursday. The average rating of the 20 bonds is roughly equivalent to Moody's Investors Service's Aa2 rating and Standard \& Poor's Rating Service AA.

## Long-Term Market Rates At/Near Peak; Short-Term Market Rates Below Peak



Interest rates provided by Municipal Market Data

## Estimated Interest Rates for Measure R



## Significant Growth in AV Since 1997*

(Net Local Secured AV assumed to grow 5\% annually; all other AV assumed to grow 0\%)


Historical assessed value (AV) provided by the Santa Clara County Auditor-Controller's Office. The District's total AV is comprised of net local secured, net unsecured, and other (utility \& homeowners' exemption). Changes shown are annual changes, while ranges are compounded annual rates.
Additional assessed value information in For Reference section

## Plan Revised Based on Expenditure Plans and Legal Constraints

- Project plans have been updated, resulting in an earlier need for funds
- Plan at the time of issuing Series 2021 Bonds, of $\$ 15$ million, was to issue $\$ 45$ million in 2023 and $\$ 28$ million in 2025
- Revised plan based on estimated expenditures and bonding capacity:
- Series 2021: $\$ 15$ million
- Series 2022: $\$ 38.68$ million
- Series 2024: $\$ 34.32$ million
- Current projections indicate a bonding capacity waiver will be needed to issue Series 2024 bonds
- Projected tax levies remain $\leq \$ 30$ (per $\$ 100,000 \mathrm{AV}$ )
* Additional information in For Reference section


## Measure R: Updated Financial Plan Est. \$38.14 Million for Projects



Analysis based on 2022-23 AV from Santa Clara Co Auditor-Controllers department and assumed 5\% annual growth in net local secured, while all others AV types are assumed to remain unchanged. Series 2021 results are actual, and includes $\$ 5,709$ deposited to Debt Service Fund. Projected sales based on MMD "AAA" rates as of July 19, 2022 plus timing adjustment for potential rate increases prior to 2022 issuance ( +75 bp ] and +140 bp for 2024 issuance, +60 bp adjustment for assumed "AA-" rating, +16 bp to generate premium for Debt Service Fund deposit for Series $2022 \&+12 \mathrm{bp}$ for Series 2024, +130bp for callable CABs. We project a bonding capacity waiver of $1.36 \%$ would be required to issue the bonds as detailed. County's collection methodology consists of current Fiscal Year debt service plus a reserve equal to the payment due during the first half of the following Fiscal Year, less previously collected reserve. Values rounded.

## Refinancing Opportunity

| General Obligation Bonds, November 2002 Election, Measure G, \$20,975,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Series | Year of Sale | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bond } \\ & \text { Type } \end{aligned}$ | Issuance - <br> New Money | Issuance - <br> Refinancing | Total Issuance | NetDebt Service at Issuance | Ratio of Debt Service to Principal for Orig Issuance | Principal Paid \& to be Repaid as of Jul1,2022 | Debt Service <br> Paid \& to be <br> Repaid as of <br> Jul12022 | Outstanding <br> Principal as of <br> Jul1,2022 | Final <br> Maturity Year | Able to Call? | Next Call Date | Callable <br> Principal | Weighted <br> Average <br> Callable <br> Coupon | Next Call Premium |  |
| A | 2003 | ClBs | \$15,105,000 | \$0 | \$15,105,000 | \$25,224,698 | 1.73 : 1 | \$3,250,000 | \$9,016,895 | \$0 | 2013 | n/a | n/a | \$0 | n/a | n/a | (1), (3) |
|  |  | CABs | \$419,912 | \$0 | \$419,912 | \$1,570,000 |  | \$419,912 | \$1,570,000 | \$419,912 | 2028 | No | n/a | \$0 | n/a | n/a |  |
| B 2005 |  | ClBs | \$2,215,000 | \$0 | \$2,215,000 | \$3,497,002 | 3.23 : 1 | \$655,000 | \$1,439,977 | \$0 | 2015 | n/a | n/a | \$0 | n/a | n/a | (2), [4] |
|  |  | CABs | \$3,235,032 | \$0 | \$3,235,032 | \$14,085,000 |  | \$3,235,032 | \$14,085,000 | \$3,235,032 | 2035 | No | n/a | \$0 | n/a | n/a |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|c\|} \hline 2013 \\ \text { Rfg } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $2013$ | CIBs | \$0 | $\$ 11,510,000$ | $\$ 11,510,000$ | \$15,065,829 | 1.31: 1 | \$11,510,000 | \$15,065,829 | \$4,745,000 | 2026 | Yes | Jul 1, 2022 | \$4,745,000 | 3.99\% | 0\% |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | \$20,974,944 | \$12,925,000 | \$33,899,944 | \$61,342,420 |  | \$20,484,944 | \$43,077,592 | \$8,954,944 |  |  |  | \$4,945,000 |  |  |  |

Debt Service to Principal_Ratio issuances to new money principa:
2.12: 1

2013 Refunding Bonds are now callable

- Short term (final maturity in 2026) and small par amount ( $<\$ 5$ million) limit savings
- Including refinancing with sale of Measure $R$ Bonds
- Estimated \$76,000 net present value savings (1.6\% of par amount)
- Reduced overall costs of issuance; estimated additional \$50,000 for projects
- Estimated increase in 2024 available bonding capacity by $\$ 1$ million
- Interest rates would need to drop by over 100 basis points to achieve similar savings in 2024 (assuming refinanced in combination with 2014 Refunding Bonds)
* Complete debt portfolio and additional information in For Reference section


## Bond Sale Methods

## Competitive Process Recommended*

- Competitive Process - auction

- Negotiated Process - sale to pre-selected underwriter or lender/investor

$\checkmark$ The Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA) recommends that "bond issuers should sell their debt using the method of sale that is most likely to achieve the lowest cost of borrowing while taking into account both short-range and longrange implications for taxpayers and ratepayers."
* Evaluation of bond sale methods in the For Reference section


## Next Steps

- Tonight's Board meeting
- Information presentation
-Board considers adoption of resolution authorizing issuance of bonds and refunding bonds
- August 16, 2022
-Sale of bonds conducted at the offices of Government Financial Strategies and virtually
- August 30, 2022

Closing: Proceeds deposited with County, Zions Bank, US Bank

- September 1, 2022 Board meeting
-Presentation of results of bond sale
September 16, 2022
-Series 2013 Refunding Bonds redeemed


## Any Questions?



## For Reference

- Debt Portfolio and Bond Financial Plan Details
- Bond Sale Method Evaluation Criteria
- Legal Structure
- Disclosure to Investors
- Assessed Value Details
- Estimated Costs of Issuance
$\checkmark$ Good Faith Estimates


## Election History and Debt Portfolio

General Obligation Bond Elections

| Date | Measure | Amount | $\underline{\text { Required }}$ | $\underline{\text { Received }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nov 5,2002 | G | $\$ 20,975,000$ | $55.0 \%$ | $73.8 \%$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Jun 3,2014 | I | $\$ 39,000,000$ | $55.0 \%$ | $72.5 \%$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Nov 3,2020 | R | $\$ 88,000,000$ | $55.0 \%$ | $61.2 \%$ | $\checkmark$ |

Parcel Tax Elections

| Date | Measure | $\underline{\text { Amount }}$ | $\underline{\text { Term }}$ | 2021-22 <br> Rate | $\underline{\text { Required }}$ | $\underline{\text { Received }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Mar 6, 2001 | A | $\$ 63+$ CCPI |  | $\$ 102.60$ | $2 / 3$ | $68.7 \%$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Nov 2, 2010 | L | $\$ 96$ | 6 years | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $2 / 3$ | $58.4 \%$ | X |
| Jun 5, 2018 | H | $\$ 84$ | 8 years | $\$ 85.68 *$ | $2 / 3$ | $67.4 \%$ | $\checkmark$ |

* Per Resolution, Measure H tax was set at $\$ 84.00$ for 2018-19 and supposed to increase annually with local inflation rate.

General Obligation Bonds, November 2002 Election, Measure G, \$20,975,000

| Series | Year of Sale | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bond } \\ & \text { Type } \end{aligned}$ | Issuance - <br> New Money | Issuance - <br> Refinancing | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Issuance } \end{gathered}$ | NetDebt <br> Service at Issuance | Ratio of Debt Service to Principal for Orig /ssuance | Principal <br> Paid \& to be <br> Repaid as of <br> Jul1,2022 | Debt Service <br> Paid \& to be <br> Repaid as of <br> Jul1,2022 | Outstanding <br> Principal as of <br> Jul 1,2022 | Final Maturity Year | Able to Call? | Next Call Date | Callable Principal | Weighted <br> Average <br> Callable <br> Coupon | NextCall Premium |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 2003 | ClBs | \$15,105,000 | \$0 | \$15,105,000 | \$25,224,698 | 1.73 : 1 | \$3,250,000 | \$9,016,895 | \$0 | 2013 | n/a | n/a | \$0 | n/a | n/a | (1), (3) |
|  |  | CABs | \$419,912 | \$0 | \$419,912 | \$1,570,000 |  | \$419,912 | \$1,570,000 | \$419,912 | 2028 | No | n/a | \$0 | n/a | n/a |  |
| B | 2005 | ClBs | \$2,215,000 | \$0 | \$2,215,000 | \$3,497,002 | 3.23 : 1 | \$655,000 | \$1,439,977 | \$0 | 2015 | n/a | n/a | \$0 | n/a | n/a | (2), (4) |
|  |  | CABs | \$3,235,032 | \$0 | \$3,235,032 | \$14,085,000 |  | \$3,235,032 | \$14,085,000 | \$3,235,032 | 2035 | No | n/a | \$0 | n/a | n/a |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 2013 \\ \mathrm{Rfg} \end{array}$ | 2013 | CIBs | \$0 | \$11,510,000 | \$11,510,000 | \$15,065,829 | 1.31 : 1 | \$11,510,000 | \$15,065,829 | \$4,745,000 | 2026 | Yes | Jul 1, 2022 | \$4,745,000 | 3.99\% | 0\% | (3) |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2014 \\ \mathrm{Rfg} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2014 | CIBs | \$0 | \$1,415,000 | \$1,415,000 | \$1,899,891 | 1.34 : 1 | \$1,415,000 | \$1,899,891 | \$555,000 | 2025 | Yes | Jul 1, 2024 | \$200,000 | 5.00\% | 0\% | (4) |
|  |  |  | \$20,974,944 | \$12,925,000 | \$33,899,944 | \$61,342,420 |  | \$20,484,944 | \$43,077,592 | \$8,954,944 |  |  |  | \$4,945,000 |  |  |  |

[^0]
## Debt Portfolio - cont.

General Obligation Bonds, June 2014 Election, Measure I, \$39,000,000

| $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Year of } \\ \text { Series } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bond } \\ & \text { Type } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Issuance - <br> New Money | Issuance - <br> Refinancing | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Issuance } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Net Debt <br> Service at Issuance | Ratio of Debt Service to Principal for Orig Issuance | Principal Paid \& to be Repaid as of Jul1,2022 | DebtService <br> Paid \& to be <br> Repaid as of <br> Jul 1.2022 | Outstanding <br> Principal as of <br> Jul 1.2022 | Final Maturity Year | Able to Call? | Next Call Date | Callable <br> Principal | Weighted <br> Average <br> Callable <br> Coupon | Next Call Premium |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20142014 | CIBs | \$39,000,000 | \$0 | \$39,000,000 | \$65,642,969 | 1.68 : 1 | \$39,000,000 | \$65,642,969 | \$35,450,000 | 2039 | Yes | Jul 1, 2024 | \$34,265,000 | 4.05\% | 0\% |
|  |  | \$39,000,000 | \$0 | \$39,000,000 | \$65,642,969 |  | \$39,000,000 | \$65,642,969 | \$35,450,000 |  |  |  | \$34,265,000 |  |  |

## Debt Service to Principal Ratios

Debt service of new money issuances to new money principal:
1.68 : 1

General Obligation Bonds, November 2020 Election, Measure R, \$88,000,000


Maht Gomuinotn Drincinal Datine
[1] Series A, Measure G net debt service reflects application of $\$ 25,019$ deposited to Debt Service Fund;
(2) Series B, Measure G net debt service reflects application of $\$ 791$ deposited to Debt Service Fund;
(3) Series A, Measure G CIBs maturing from 2014-2026 refinanced by Series 2013 Refunding Bonds, savings taxpayers \$1,141,973;
[4] Series B, Measure G CIBs maturing from 2016-2025 refinanced by Series 2014 Refunding Bonds, savings taxpayers $\$ 157,134$;
[5] Series 2014, Measure I net debt service reflects application of $\$ 2,214,127$ deposited to Debt Service Fund
[6] Series 2021, Measure R net debt service reflects application of $\$ 5,709$ deposited to DebtService Fund;

## Est. \$115,000 in Savings for Taxpayers;

## Pushing up Principal Increases Available Bonding Capacity Earlier



## PV Savings Below Typical Recommended Thresholds However, Short Term Limits Refi Opportunities



Projected savings based on "AA-" rated public offering as of July 19, $2022+20 \mathrm{bp}$. Savings are net of issuance costs ( $\$ \mathbf{1 1 6 , 0 0 0 ] .}$. Values rounded.

## Measure G: Tax Levies



## Measure I: Tax Levies Projected to Remain Below Pre-Election Est.



Pre-election estimate is scenario presented to the Board for approval of election and basis for tax rate statement provided to voters. Actual levies provided by Santa Clara Co. Future tax levies are based on County's collection methodology which consists of current Fiscal Year debt service plus a reserve equal to the payment due during the first half of the following Fiscal Year, less previously collected reserve. Levies based on an assumed $5 \%$ annual increase in net local secured $A V$, while all other types of $A V$ are assumed to remain unchanged, and based on assumed unitary revenue of $0.75 \%$ of debt service $\&$ reserve [ $1.1 \%$ historical average since $2013-14$ ], other revenue of $0.05 \%$ of debt service \& reserve [ $0.05 \%$ historical average since $2013-14$ ], $5 \%$ additional reserve account receipts ( $7.4 \%$ historical average since $2013-14$ ], and paying agent fees equal to $2021-22$ fee which
range from $\$ 1,500$ to $\$ 6,000$ annually. Tax levies are per $\$ 100,000$ of assessed value. Values rounded. range from $\$ 1,500$ to $\$ 6,000$ annually. Tax levies are per $\$ 100,000$ of assessed value. Values rounded.
$\checkmark$ And end 5 years earlier than pre-election estimate.

## Measure R: Projected Levies



2022-23 levy provided by Santa Clara Co. Future tax levies are based on County's collection methodology which consists of current Fiscal Year debt service plus a reserve equal to the payment due during the first half of the following Fiscal Year, less previously collected reserve. Levies based on an assumed $5 \%$ annual increase in net local secured AV, while all other types of AV are assumed to remain unchanged. Future paying agent fees equal to $2021-22$ fee ( $\$ 1,500$ ). Tax levies are per $\$ 100,000$ of assessed value. This model exceeds current bonding capacity limits and a waiver of $1.40 \%$ would be required. Values rounded.

## Bonding Capacity Still a Constraint

## Bonding Capacity <br> Outstanding Bonds

Under Current Limitations, a We Can Issue \$34 Million at the Present Time, But, a Bonding Capacity Waiver is Required to Issue the Full $\$ 88.0$ Million as Scheduled


Bonding capacity is $1.25 \%$ of union districts total AV . AV is assumed to increase $5 \%$ annually for net local secured, while all other AV types are assumed to remain unchanged. Bonding capacity is as of August 20 when the $A V$ becomes "equalized." Utilizing the full $\$ 30$ levy generates more than remaining bonding capacity, thus a $1.40 \%$ bonding capacity waiver would be necessary based on current expenditure plan. $\$ 1.565$ million in principal is scheduled for payment on July 1,2022 with an additional $\$ 1.645$ million due on August 1,2022 .

## GFOA Competitive Criteria

- Criteria that favors a Competitive Process:
$\checkmark$ Rating of the bonds is at least in the single-A category.
- Existing bonds are rated "AA-".
$\checkmark$ Bonds are general obligation bonds or full faith and credit obligations of the issuer or are secured by a strong, known and long-standing revenue stream.
- The bonds are general obligation bonds.
$\checkmark$ Bond structure does not include innovative or new features that require extensive explanation to the bond market.
- The bonds do not include features requiring explanation.
$x$ Issuer is well known and frequently in the market
- The District is not well known and frequently in the market.
$\checkmark$ We meet 3 out of the 4 competitive process criteria.

[^1]
## GFOA Negotiated Criteria

- Criteria that favors a Negotiated Process:
$X$ Rating of the bonds is lower than the single-A category.
- Existing bonds are rated "AA-".
$x$ Bond insurance or other credit enhancement is unavailable or not cost-effective.
- Bond insurance is available and cost-effective.
$x$ Structure of bonds has features better suited to negotiation.
- The bonds do not include such features.
$x$ Issuer desires to target underwriting participation to include disadvantaged business enterprises or local firms.
- All underwriters will have the opportunity to participate.
$X$ Other factors that the issuer, in consultation with its financial advisor, believes favor the use of a negotiated sale process.
- There are no other factors favoring a negotiated sale.
$\checkmark$ We meet 0 out of the 5 negotiated process criteria.


## Primary Legal Documents

Board Resolution: authorizes bonds and signing of documents within parameters (bond amount, interest rate, etc.)

- Form of Bond Purchase Agreement: the underwriter purchases the bonds from the District and resells them to investors
- Preliminary Official Statement: discloses important information about the District and financing to investors
- Form of Paying Agent Agreement: a bank is assigned responsibility for forwarding principal and interest payments to investors
- Form of Continuing Disclosure Certificate: District provides updates to the bond market annually and if any significant events occur


## Legal Structure - General Obligation Bonds



## Legal Structure - Refunding Bonds



## Reasonable Expectations for Expenditures

$\checkmark$ For a tax-exempt financing, federal law requires that District reasonably expect to:

- within six months, incur binding obligations to third parties involving expenditures of not less than $5 \%$ of the net bond proceeds
- within three years, expend $85 \%$ of the proceeds
- proceed toward completing the projects and allocating the net sale proceeds to expenditures with due diligence


## Preliminary Official Statement Overview of Disclosure

Honest and Fair Dealing
Disclose all "material" facts


Heightened Securities and Exchange
Commission scrutiny


Marketing
Present and future


## Tips for Reviewing the Disclosure

- In reviewing the Preliminary Official Statement:

Format is based on the industry standard, for the convenience of the primary audience -- investors. Standard information is provided in standard fashion, which is often not in the best writing style.

Providing too much information can obscure important points; however, omitting information which might be material to an investment decision would be disastrous.

It is better to bring something to our attention, so that it can be considered and discussed, rather than assume that we've already thought of it.

## It is Important to Review the Disclosure

-Securities and Exchange Commission report (January, 1996) on the disclosure of Orange County in connection with the sale of municipal securities prior to its bankruptcy:
"In authorizing the issuance of securities and related disclosure documents, a public official may not authorize disclosure that the official knows to be false; nor may a public official authorize disclosure while recklessly disregarding facts that indicate that there is a risk that the disclosure may
 be misleading."

## Composition of Assessed Value

Assessed Value
Net Local Secured AV Remains by Far the Most Significant Component of Total AV


[^2] homeowners' exemption].

## A Closer Look at Net Local Secured



## Moderate AV Projections



## Historical AV Analysis - 5 Year Periods

Compounded Annual Growth Rate - Secured AV

AV Assumptions Used Result in 4.98\% Annual Growth Rate over 5 Years


Historical data from Santa Clara County Auditor-Controller's office. Net local secured AV is assumed to increase $5 \%$ annually, while all other $A V$ types are assumed to remain unchanged.

## Historical AV Analysis - 10 Year Periods



Historical data from Santa Clara County Auditor-Controller's office. Net local secured AV is assumed to increase $5 \%$ annually, while all other $A V$ types are assumed to remain unchanged.

## Historical AV Analysis - 15 Year Periods

AV Assumptions Used Result in 4.99\% Annual Growth Rate over 15 Years


Historical data from Santa Clara County Auditor-Controller's office. Net local secured AV is assumed to increase 5\% annually, while all other AV types are assumed to remain unchanged.

## Historical AV Analysis - 20 Year Periods

Compounded Annual
Growth Rate - Secured AV

AV Assumptions Used Result in 4.99\% Annual Growth Rate over 20 Years


Historical data from Santa Clara County Auditor-Controller's office. Net local secured AV is assumed to increase 5\% annually, while all other AV types are assumed to remain unchanged.

## Estimated Costs of Issuance

| Cambrian School District |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Santa County, California] |  |  |  |
| General Obligation Bonds, Election of 2020, Series 2022 |  |  |  |
| 2022 General Obligation Refunding Bonds |  |  |  |
| Estimated Costs of Issuance |  |  |  |
| Description | Election of 2020 Series 2022 | Refunding | Estimated Total |
| - Parker \& Covert LLP, Bond Counsel |  |  |  |
| Bond Counsel Services: | \$17,000.00 | \$14,000.00 | \$31,000.00 |
| Expenses: | \$400.00 | \$800.00 | \$1,200.00 |
| - Government Financial Strategies inc., Municipal Advisor |  |  |  |
| Professional Services and Expenses: | \$36,900.00 | \$79,400.00 | \$116,300.00 |
| - S\&P Global Ratings, Rating Agency |  |  |  |
| Professional Services: | \$17,750.00 | \$14,000.00 | \$31,750.00 |
| - Other Issuance Expenses [break out listed below] |  |  |  |
| Zions Bancorporation, National Association, Paying Agent |  |  |  |
| Acceptance Fees: | \$350.00 | \$350.00 | \$700.00 |
| First Year's Paying Agent Annual Fee: | \$350.00 | \$350.00 | \$700.00 |
| Good Faith Fund Administration Fee: | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| COI Fund Administration Fee: | \$250.00 | \$250.00 | \$500.00 |
| U.S. Bank Trust, N.A., Escrow Agent |  |  |  |
| Escrow Agent Fee: | \$0.00 | \$1,000.00 | \$1,000.00 |
| AVIA Communications: POS/OS Printer | \$0.00 | \$1,500.00 | \$1,500.00 |
| Causey Demgen \& Moore P.C.: Verification Agent | \$0.00 | \$1,750.00 | \$1,750.00 |
| California Municipal Statistics: Data | \$0.00 | \$1,500.00 | \$1,500.00 |
| - Contingency | \$3,000.00 | \$1,100.00 | \$4,100.00 |
| TOTAL COSTS OF ISSUANCE | \$76,000.00 | \$116,000.00 | \$192,000.00 |

## Good Faith Estimates

## Measure R, Series 2022

Good Faith Estimates
Per Government Code 5852.1

|  | Estimates |
| ---: | ---: |
| True Interest Cost | $3.89 \%$ |
| Finance Charge[1] | $\$ 462,800$ |
| Amount of Proceeds[2] | $\$ 38,217,200$ |
| Total Payment Amount[3] | $\$ 79,428,318$ |

(1) Finance charge is the sum of all charges and fees paid to third-parties for upfront costs.
[2] Amount of proceeds received is the bond amount less the finance charge and any reserves or capitalized interest funded.
[3] total payment amount is total debt service plus any finance charges not paid with proceeds.

Series 2022 Refunding Bonds
Good Faith Estimates
Per Government Code 5852.1

|  | Estimates |
| ---: | ---: |
| True Interest Cost | $2.17 \%$ |
| Finance Charge[1] | $\$ 139,200$ |
| Amount of Proceeds[2] | $\$ 4,785,542$ |
| Total Payment Amount[3] | $\$ 5,121,311$ |

(1) Finance charge is the sum of all charges and fees paid to third-parties for upfront costs.
(2) Amount of proceeds received is the bond amount less the finance charge and any reserves or capitalized interest funded.
(3) total payment amount is total debt service plus any finance charges not paid with proceeds.
$\checkmark$ Series 2022 (Measure R) Bonds planned to be callable August 1, 2031; Series 2022 Refunding Bonds will be non-callable


[^0]:    Debt Service to Principal Ratios
    Debt service of new money issuances to new money principal:
    Total debt service after refinancings to new money principal:
    $2.12: 1$
    2.05 : 1

[^1]:    *Note: We define "frequently" to mean the proposed financing is at least the third debt issuance publicly offered to the bond market in the last five years.

[^2]:    Historical assessed value (AV) provided by the Santa Clara County Auditor-Controller's Office. The District's total AV is comprised of net local secured, net unsecured, and other (utility \&

